ADDENDUM D HAZARD TREE MANAGEMENT

HAZARD TREE MANAGEMENT

Goal and Objectives

- 1. Manage hazard trees for public safety.
 - o Reduce human induced tree damage and hazard creation
 - o Monitor park trees on a regular basis, inspecting as warranted
 - o Prune or reduce high hazard trees

Hazard Tree Assessment

A hazard tree assessment was conducted for Seward Park in June, 2004. All trees capable of falling in pedestrian and traffic zones were assessed for their probability of failing and causing physical or monetary damage to the public in whole or to Parks structures. A hazard ranking was assigned to all trees meeting the established hazard ranking criteria. This included a high probability of failure, identifiable by poor health, significant die-back, problematic tree structure, root damage, visible cankers, rot, disease or pest infestations. A problematic tree would also need to have a high probability of falling on an obvious target, such as a high traffic area, playground, parking lot, picnic area or building. Trees within the interior of the Park's forest were identified as Interior Forest Trees. The results of this assessment were transferred to an ESRI shapefile format and a hazard tree map was created in July, 2004. (See Hazard Tree Map) See the attached Seward Park Hazard Tree Inventory at the end of this section for details on each tree.

Issues and Implicated Concerns

Eighty five trees have been identified by Park staff as having greater than normal potential for failure in or near human use areas. Of these, 25 are along the interior trails of the Park, no actions are proposed for these trees. Actions are proposed for the 60 trees in the highly occupied areas of the Park. The process of identifying and abating hazard trees will be an ongoing part of Park Management.

- Without removal or remediation of hazard trees, certain areas of the Park may need to be closed to public access for safety reasons.
- Removal of hazard trees will create openings in the forest that may invite invasive species and may well affect the visual and habitat value of the park.
- Visitor safety and tree health need to be balanced against the ecological rarity and importance as well as aesthetic value of trees.
- Removal of hazard trees will provide opportunities for forest regeneration.
- Hazard Tree Assessments may be required on a more frequent basis as the Park Trees age.

Management Recommendations

SPR staff will handle hazard tree inspection, abatement and monitoring on a continuing basis, according to standard Parks procedures.

Main Objectives:

- 1. Improve park safety
- 2. Improve forest health by replanting after hazard abatement

Priority Actions:

- 1. Close informal trails near decadent trees.
- 2. Conduct visible and frequent public education programs.
- 3. Conduct periodic monitoring of trees by SPR certified arborist
- 4. Maintain proactive hazard tree remediation program
- 5. Evaluate identified hazard situations in playground and highly trafficked forest edges and take appropriate management action.

- 6. When reducing a tree to abate a hazardous situation, make every effort to leave a good portion of the tree in the upright position as a snag.
- 7. Recycle other debris as woodchips or scattered down woody debris.
- 8. Replant appropriate trees and/ native shrubs to fill gaps.
- 9. Train maintenance staff to recognize conditions which could create a hazard.

Priority Areas:

- 1. Zone 100 Playground
- 2. Area D, Mixed Native/non-native forest

Prioritized Actions

Hazard trees are shown on the Management Area map at the end of this VMP. Numbers correlate to Hazard Tree Inventory data included in this Appendix as well. To check proposed actions for particular trees, consult data summary by tree number. Removals will be signed well in advance for public comment (2-4 weeks), following detailed evaluation of alternative risk reduction options by SPR Senior Forester. This work constitutes "catch up" tree risk reduction; annual monitoring will identify additional hazards in future years, but numbers will be greatly reduced. Trees marked as Interior Forest Trees will be reviewed periodically for health only.

Note that some trees identified for inspection / monitoring may need to be removed or habitat-reduced as a result; also, several trees are identified both for pruning and inspection so total actions do not equal total tree count per phase.

Figure 14
Trees Occurring outside of Interior Forest

Remove	Create Habitat	Prune	Inspect/monitor
16	14	20	10

Management Practices

Hazard tree management work will be done only by Parks staff or contractors using existing practices, and as approved by SPR Senior Urban Forester. Approved methods in which to manage hazard trees in parks are located in the Department Policy and Procedure for Tree Management, Maintenance, Pruning and/or Removal (Tree Policy, 2001). As outlined in the previous chapter, there are four recommended actions for hazard trees in Seward Park:

Monitoring

There are several targets in Seward Park, and trees can change in health and stability over a relatively short period of time. It is essential for Urban Forestry Staff to perform annual monitoring of all trees near identified target areas. The list of hazard trees created in this VMP can be used as a baseline, but it is important that staff observes any changes to adjacent trees that did not appear to have issues at the time of this assessment. These methods should be useful tools for staff to repeat in the field at any time.

Inspection

In some trees, the extent of decay could not be detected by visual inspection, and therefore, no determination of the trees' condition was made. Other more invasive evaluation methods, such as the Resistograph®, increment borer, or a small-gauge bit drill, should be utilized to assess the amount of sound wood present in strategic parts of the tree. The type of assessment is usually performed by a certified arborist or forester equipped with that kind of instrumentation.

Other trees, indicated as needing "inspection" in this VMP, may need only a visual assessment by the Maintenance Staff and discussion of whether the situation requires a more extensive evaluation using the above methods.

Pruning

All tree pruning is to be done by Parks staff. Most of the pruning prescribed for hazard trees in Seward Park is limited crown cleaning, to remove only dead, dying, and diseased branches. These actions are considered helpful to maintaining the trees vigor and health. The removal of dead or decayed portions of the tree can include large parts such as stems and scaffold branches in order to retain the rest of the tree. In such cases, it may be impossible to make a pruning cut without exposing the interior of the tree but is the preferred choice over complete removal of a tree.

For some trees, the healthiest part of the tree is the upper branches, and the areas of concern are decayed trunks at branch attachments or at the base and root system. If the branches are over "targets" (shelters, playground, roads, etc.), reducing branch length and weight with thinning cuts is recommended. As with all pruning, frequent monitoring is required to detect any change in tree health or a negative response to the work.

Removal

For hazard trees identified in the park, removals are <u>only</u> recommended when there is no other action by which to lower the probability of failure to a safe and acceptable level. Determinations of risk of failure are made using ISA standard protocols for hazard inspection. Removal can include lowering a tree to a safe height by creating a snag for wildlife habitat. Tree removal on a steep slope should leave stump and root ball intact and avoid any soil disturbance. The root system can continue to perform well binding the soil and helping stabilize the slope for several years after felling.

With all removals, replacement planting should be considered. If the area is to be minimally disturbed, planting adjacent to the removal is an option. In root disease pockets, it is essential to plant disease resistant tree species. For areas where stand thinning is beneficial to overall forest health, replanting with shrubs and groundcover can be the best option. Removals are not considered for trees within the Interior Forest.

Estimated Costs

HAZARD TREE MANAGEMENT										
	Monitoring	Park-wide	\$3,000 annual	Ongoing						
	High Hazard Tree Mitigation	Park-wide	\$90,000	2005-2007						

Seward Park Hazard Tree Inventory

June 2004 Inventory

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
1	Acer macrophyllum	67	playgrou nd, trail	freq	poor	dieback	BTR	observed	very high	reduce - habitat tree	No	south	Work completed summer, 2004	А
2	Arbutus menziesii	26	playgrou nd, trail	freq	dead	dieback	втс	observed	very high	reduce - habitat tree	No	north	Almost dead	В
3	Fraxinus species	19	public beach, road	freq	poor	dieback	втс	observed	very high	remove	No	north		С
4	Fraxinus species	20	playgrou nd, picnic table	some	poor	dieback	TCR	observed	high	monitor - remove?	No	east		D
5	Pseudotsuga menziesii	31	playgrou nd	always	fair	-	ВТ	observed	very high	inspect - resistograph	No	south		E
6	Arbutus menziesii	41	road	freq	fair	dieback	TCR	observed	very high	inspect - resistograph	No	south		F
7	Liriodendron tulipifera	17	picnic table, road	freq	dead	dieback	ВТ	observed	very high	reduce - habitat tree	No	south	Removal order 7/8/2004	G
8	Populus alba	24	picnic table, trail	freq	fair	dieback	TR	observed	high	prune - remove?	No	south		Н
9	Populus alba	33	picnic table, trail	freq	fair	dieback		none seen	high	prune	No	south	One large branch	ı
10	Acer macrophyllum	50	picnic table, trail	freq	dead	-	ВТ	observed	very high	remove	No	north	Removal order 7/8/2004	J

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
													Remove	
													dead	
	Arbutus		shelter,					l		inspect,			branch	
11	menziesii	29	trail	freq	fair	dieback	TR	observed	high	prune	No	north	over trail	K
													Remove dead	
	Arbutus		shelter,					none		inspect,			branch	
12	menziesii	29	trail	freq	poor	dieback	Т	seen	high	prune	No	_	over trail	L
													Remove	
													dead	
	Arbutus		shelter,	_			_	none		inspect,			branch	
13	menziesii	25	trail	freq	good	-	Т	seen	high	prune	No	-	over trail	M
14	Arbutus menziesii	25	trail	frog	dood	diabaak	Т	none	biab	inspect,	No			NI.
14	Arbutus	25	แลแ	freq	dead	dieback	1	seen none	high	prune inspect -	INO	-		N
15	menziesii	20	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	remove?	No	_		0
10	menziesii	20	picnic	псч	ucau	dicback		30011	iligii	TOTTIOVE:	110			
	Platanus		table,						very					
16	acerifolia	29	trail	freq	poor	-	R	observed	high	remove	No	east		Р
	Arbutus		l	_			_	none		inspect,				
17	menziesii	24	building	freq	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	habitat tree	No	-	dead	Q
	Autorities													
18	Arbutus menziesii	16	trail	freq	dead	dieback	lτ	none	high	inspect, habitat tree	No	_	dead	R
10	menziesii	10	liali	печ	ueau	uleback	'	seen	riigii	Habitat tree	INO		ueau	IX.
	Arbutus							none		inspect,				
19	menziesii	22	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	habitat tree	No	_		S
	Arbutus							none		inspect,				
20	menziesii	16	trail	freq	fair	dieback	Т	seen	high	prune	No	-		Т
	Arbutus							none		inspect,				
21	menziesii	15	trail	freq	fair	dieback	Т	seen	high	prune	No	-		U
									3 .					
													Main	
								none		inspect,			leader	
22	Thuja plicata	21	shelter	some	fair	dieback		seen	high	monitor	No	north	dieback	V

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
	Arbutus		picnic					none		inspect,				
23	menziesii	25	table	freq	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	habitat tree	No			w
	Arbutus						_	none		inspect,				
24	menziesii	27	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	habitat tree	No			Х
25	Arbutus menziesii	15	trail	freq	poor	dieback	Т	none seen	high	inspect, habitat tree	No			Y
26	Arbutus menziesii	12	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Т	none seen	high	inspect, habitat tree	No			Z
27	Arbutus	10	troil	frog	foir	diabook	_	none	hiah	inspect,	No			
27	menziesii Arbutus	12	trail	freq	fair	dieback	Т	seen	high	prune inspect,	No		Trim west	AA
28	menziesii	34	trail	freq	fair	dieback	Т	observed	high	prune	No	north	branch	BB
29	Pseudotsuga menziesii	36	trail	freq	fair	dieback	Т	none seen	high	inspect, habitat, monitor	No	north	Top leader dieback	CC
30	Arbutus menziesii	11	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Т	none seen	high	inspect - remove?	No	north		DD
31	Pinus sylvestris	12	trail	some	poor	dieback	В	observed	very high	reduce - habitat tree	No	west	Bark beetle	1
32	Acer macrophyllum	8 to 21"	trail	some	poor	dieback	ВТ	observed	very high	prune	Yes	south	Multi- trunk (6)	2
33	Pseudotsuga menziesii	39	trail	some	poor	dieback	втс	observed	low	monitor	Yes	east		3
34	Pseudotsuga menziesii	41	trail	some	fair	dieback	BR	observed	low	inspect	Yes	north	sap	4
35	Thuja plicata	33	trail	some	poor	dieback	В	observed	Low	inspect	Yes	west		5
36	Acer macrophyllum	29	trail	some	poor	dieback	TCR	observed	low	Monitor	Yes			6

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
													Fire	
										inspect -			damaged	
37	Thuja plicata	55	trail	some	fair	dieback	втс	observed	high	resistograph	Yes	east	trunk	7
	Pseudotsuga													
38	menziesii	25	trail	some	dead	dieback	ВТ	observed	Low	inspect	Yes	south		8
	Pseudotsuga												Snag/lean	
39	menziesii	33	trail	some	dead	dieback		observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	south	s over trail	9
	5												Fire	
40	Pseudotsuga	60	4 a. i l		£	مالمال			1		V	4	damaged	40
40	menziesii	60	trail	some	fair	dieback		observed	Low	inspect	Yes	east	trunk Leaning	10
													against	
	Pseudotsuga							none					another	
41	menziesii	21	trail	some	poor	asymet	TCR	seen	low	monitor	Yes	north	Doug. Fir	11
						,, <u>,</u>			_				Major	
	Pseudotsuga							none					lean over	
42	menziesii	26	trail	some	fair	asymet	ВТ	seen	low	monitor	Yes	north	trail	12
43	Thuja plicata	21	trail	some	poor	dieback	втс	observed	low	monitor	Yes	south		13
	Tsuga													
44	heterophylla	20	trail	some	dead	dieback	втс	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	west	snag	14
		_	_				_	none	very					
45	Alnus rubras	9	road	some	dead	dieback	Т	seen	high	Trim	No	south	dead	15
4.0	Arbutus							none	very					4.0
46	menziesii	17	trail	freq	poor	dieback	ВТ	seen	high	remove	No	west	D 1/	16
47	Arbutus	40	4==:1	f====	الممما	ما الماماد	DTC	المدينة ومام	very		Nia		Dead/	47
47	menziesii	13	trail	freq	dead	dieback	ВТС	observed	high	remove	No	west	rotting	17
	Acer								VOD/				Dead/ forked at	
48	macrophyllum	14,10	trail	freq	dead	dieback	ВТ	observed	very high	remove	No	_	base	18
	macropriyilarii	14,10	tran	печ	ueau	uleback	וטו	Observed	very	Terriove	INO	_	Dase	10
49	Thuja plicata	21	building	freq	poor	dieback	втс	observed	high	remove	No	west	Bathroom	19
	Thaja phoata		Jananig	1104	Poor	alobaok	2.5	5555.754	19.1	10/11070	. 10	11000	Old	
													growth/	
	Pseudotsuga												fire	
50	menziesii	66	trail	freq	fair	-	TCR	observed	low	inspect	Yes	south	damage	20
	Tsuga												Top leader	
51	heterophylla	21	trail	freq	dead	dieback	Τ	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	east	will fail	21

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
													Wounded	
	Acer												branch	
52	macrophyllum	61	trail	freq	poor	topped	ВТ	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	south	collar	22
53	Arbutus menziesii	17	trail	freq	dead	dieback	тс	observed	very high	remove	No	north	dying	23
	monzioni		tran		acaa	GIODAGIC		0000.700	9	10111010	110	1101411	Trim dead	
54	Populus balsamifera	40	trail	freq	fair	dieback	В	none seen	very high	prune	No	north	branches overtrail	24
							_		very				Leader	
55	Thuja plicata	22	trail	freq	poor	dieback	Т	observed	high	trim	no	west	dieback Bark	25
	Pseudotsuga								very	reduce -			peeling/ snag/ noted at nature	
56	menziesii	49	trail	some	dead	dieback	ВТС	observed	high	habitat tree	No	east	center	26
57	Pseudotsuga menziesii	60	trail	some	poor	dieback	TC	observed	very high	inspect - resistograph	No	east	Fire damaged trunk	27,28, 29
58	Alnus rubra	15	trail	some	poor	dieback	TR	observed	low	Monitor	Yes	east	Thinning foliage/ leader dieback/ leader may fail	30,31
	Pseudotsuga			_							.,		Check structural	
59	menziesii	52	trail	freq	fair	dieback	ВТ	observed	low	inspect	Yes	north	strength	32,33
60	Acer macrophyllum	12	trail	some	fair	topped	BCR	none seen	Low	prune	Yes	north	hanger	34
61	Pseudotsuga menziesii	33	trail	some	poor	dieback		observed	Low	inspect	Yes	north	Forked at 2'/ other leader already removed	35,36

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
62	Acer macrophyllum	6	trail	some	dead	dieback	Т	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	south	Bark peeling/ forked at base, east fork alive	37
63	Pseudotsuga menziesii	29	trail	some	fair	dieback	тс	observed	Low	inspect	Yes	east		38,39
64	Thuja plicata	49	building	never	dead	dieback	тс	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	west	Unoccupie d house in hatchery/ leader dead	40
65	Thuja plicata	33	building	never	dead	dieback	TC	observed	low	Monitor	Yes	west	Unoccupie d house in hatchery/ leader dead	41
66	Thuja plicata	53	trail	freq	dead	dieback	ВТС	observed	Low	Monitor	Yes	south	snag	42,43
67	Sequoia sempervirens	40	picnic table	always	fair	dieback		none seen	high	monitor	No	west	Leader dieback	44,45
68	Fraxinus species	18	trail	freq	fair	dieback	BCR	none seen	high	prune	No	east	Trim dead branches over trail	46-49
69	Fraxinus species	23	trail	freq	fair	dieback	BCR	none seen	high	prune	No	east	Trim dead branches over trail	46-49
70	Fraxinus species	19	trail	freq	fair	dieback	BR	none seen	high	prune	No	west	Trim dead branches over trail	46-49
71	Acer macrophyllum	59	trail	some	fair	asymet	ВТ	none seen	very high	prune	No	east	Trim dead branches over trail/ remove hanger	50,51

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
										•				
72	Arbutus menziesii	24,23	road	always	fair	dieback	TC	observed	very high	prune	No	west	Forked at 2'	52,53, 54,55
73	Thuja plicata	40.5,26	parking	always	fair	dieback	В	observed	very high	resistograph , monitor	No	south		56
74	Thuja plicata Pseudotsuga	22	parking, road playgrou	always	fair	dieback	BTC BTC	observed	very high very	resistograph , monitor remove	No	north	remove	57
75	menziesii	47.5	nd, path	always	good	-	R	observed	high	hanger	No	west	hanger	58,59
76	Pseudotsuga menziesii	49	parking, road	always	poor	asymet	втс	observed	very high	resistograph , monitor	No	south		60
77	Arbutus menziesii	9.5	road	always	dead	dieback	втс	observed	very high	remove	No	east		61
78	Alnus rubra	16,8	road	always	poor	dieback	TCR	observed	very high	remove	No	east	Forked at base	62
79	Arbutus menziesii	23	picnic table	some	dead	dieback	тс	observed	very high	monitor	No	south		63
80	Arbutus menziesii	7	road	always	dead	dieback	вт	none seen	very high	remove hanger	No	north	Remove hanger	64
81	Arbutus menziesii	26	trail, road	always	dead	dieback	BTC R	observed	very high	remove	No	north	Located at base of Doug. Fir	65,66
82	Pseudotsuga menziesii	76	parking,	always	fair		втс	observed	high	resistograph , monitor	No	east	Fire- damaged trunk, large spiders. Remove hangers in adjacent madrona	68
								none						
83	Alnus rubra	13	road	always	dead	dieback	TCR	seen	high	remove	No	north	Dead	69

ID	Species	Trunk diameter (inches)	Target(s)	Occupied	Tree health	Canopy quality	Defect (s)	Disease/ Pest	Hazard rank	Primary action	Interior Tree	Tag location	Comments	Photo
84	Alnus rubra	17.5	picnic table, road	freq	dead	dieback	BTR	observed	very high	reduce - habitat tree	No	east	Dead/ bark	70
04	Pseudotsuga	17.5	picnic	печ	ueau	uleback	DIK	none	High	Habitat tiee	110	Casi	Remove 2	70
85	menziesii	26	table	some	good	-	В	seen	high	prune	No	east	hangers	71,72

KEY

R

<u>Defects</u>ⁱ

B Branch

T Trunk

C Crown

Roots.

NOTE; Tree locations are indicated on VMP maps at end of Addenda. Hazard tree screening was performed by Parks Urban Forestry staff arborist. Placement on this list does not suggest that the tree will ultimately be reduced. Many potentially hazardous situations can be managed through regular monitoring and light pruning. If a tree must be reduced, Parks will make every effort to maintain significant portions of the tree in the upright position, and will distribute the rest on the ground and in the surrounding planted areas

Seward Park Vegetative Management Plan HAZARD TREE SURVEY

